

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 31 2006

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Telephone 847-905-7111
Facsimile 847-905-7113

Date: JANUARY 31, 2006

To: EXAMINER GARY, ERIKA A.
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #: (571) 273-8300

From: FRANK C. NICHOLAS
Phone #: (847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.: GP-302115 (2760/56)

of Pages: 10

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL 847/906-7111, Ext. 112 AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO Form 27 (12-01), approved for use through 6/30/06. One USPTO Form 27 is required for each application. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1996, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Attorney Docket No	GP-302115 (2760/56)
	Application Number	10/082,912
	Filing Date	FEBRUARY 26, 2002
	First Named Inventor	JEFFREY M. STEFAN
	Group Art Unit	2681
	Examiner	GARY, ERIKA A

RECEIVED



CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 31 2006

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavit(s)/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> One-Month Petition for Extension of Time Request (dup) <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449 <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing Sheets <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition <input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Post Card Receipt <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-0860 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 07-0860 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

CALCULATION OF FEE

					Small Entity		Large Entity		
	Claims After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus		0	x \$25=	0		x \$50=	
Indep		Minus		0	x \$100=	0		x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+ \$180=	—		+ \$360=	
					total add'l fee	\$ 0		total add'l fee	\$ 0

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	FRANK C. NICHOLAS Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201		
Signature		Date	January 31, 2006
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on this date:			January 31, 2006
Signature	 FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)	Date	January 31, 2006

PTO/USPTO (12-07) (Applicable for use through 03/01/08) Group 0651-0001 Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1992, no postage will be required on responses to a notification of submission unless it displays a valid Office control number

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Attorney Docket No.	GP-302116 (2760/56)
	Application Number	10/082,912
	Filing Date	FEBRUARY 28, 2006
	First Named Inventor	JEFFREY M. STEFAN
	Group Art Unit	2681
	Examiner	GARY, ERIKA A.

RECEIVED



CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 31 2006


ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> One-Month Petition for Extension of Time Request (dup) <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449 <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing Sheets <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Petition Raising Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition <input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Post Card Receipt <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-0960 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 07-0960 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.		

CALCULATION OF FEE

				Small Entity		Large Entity	
	Claims After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus	0	x \$25=	0	x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus	0	x \$100=	0	x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim				+ \$180=	---	+ \$380=	
				total add'l fee	\$ 0	total add'l fee	\$ 0

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or individual name	FRANK C. NICHOLAS Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201		
Signature		Date	January 31, 2006
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on this date: <u>January 31, 2006</u>			
Signature	 FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)	Date:	January 31, 2006

Certificate of Facsimile
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office January 31, 2006
(Date of Transmission)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (31983)
Name of Appellant, assignee or registered representative

Signature
January 31, 2006
Date of Signature

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 31 2006

PATENT
Case No. GP-302115
(2760/56)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re patent application of:)	
)	
JEFFREY M. STEFAN ET AL.)	
)	Examiner: GARY, ERIKA A.
Serial No.: 10/082,912)	
)	Group Art Unit: 2681
Filed: FEBRUARY 26, 2002)	
)	Conf. No.: 2683
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR)	
PURCHASING AND)	
REPLENISHING WIRELESS)	
NETWORK CALLING TIME)	

REPLY BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Sir:

Please consider Appellant's reply brief as follows.

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 2 of 7

Section 102(e) has been applied to the claims incorrectly. As previously noted, "[F]or anticipation under 35 U.S.C. §102, a single reference must teach every aspect of the claimed invention either explicitly or impliedly. Any feature not directly taught must be inherently present." [MPEP §706.02.] "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the . . . claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

With regard to independent claims 1, 5, and 9, at a minimum, Kiel does not disclose "transmitting the data encoding the purchased calling time from *the portable networking device* to an *onboard system*." (emphases added) Claims 1, 5, and 9 were rejected as anticipated under §102, and not rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103. At a minimum, the Examiner has misapplied the law.

Appellants have previously specifically pointed out the differences between the Kiel device and the claimed invention. The Examiner's answer fails to illustrate how the reference discloses the identical invention in as complete detail as contained in the claim. Simply put, Kiel does not anticipate these claims, under 35 U.S.C. §102.

The Examiner's arguments in answer are unpersuasive and misleading. Specifically, rather than teaching "the activity monitoring unit and the client communication device embodied as separate devices" (page 4, Ex'r's Answer), Kiel teaches the activity monitoring unit and client communication device as *integral*.

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 3 of 7

FIG. 1 of Kiel clearly illustrates the teachings of Kiel.





FIG.1

FIG. 1 illustrates the handheld communication device 102 (§34 of Kiel) as including a modem 110 connected to antenna 112, CPU 114 and activity monitoring unit 116. Clearly, activity monitoring unit is part of and integral with the handheld communication device.

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 4 of 7

Contrast the teachings of Kiel with FIG. 1 of the instant case:

FIG. 1



The Examiner is incorrectly likening the portable networking device 130 with the Kiel activity monitoring unit 116 and the onboard device 140 with the Kiel client communication device 112. A cursory comparison of the devices reveals that the Kiel "devices" are actually a single device, while the claimed portable networking device 130 and onboard device 140 are separate devices. Furthermore, those of ordinary skill in the art would not confuse a portable networking device with an activity monitoring unit.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 5 of 7

The Examiner's allegation that Kiel teaches "that the activity monitoring unit includes a modem and interfaces with the internet" (citing to Kiel ¶37) is erroneous.

Kiel actually teaches at ¶37:

[0037] FIG. 2 shows another system 200. In this system a computer 202 is connected via an interface module 203, comprising an activity monitoring unit 204 and a modem 206 to the Internet 208. Typically, but not exclusively, unit 204 and modem 206 may be combined into one integral unit 203. Also typically, but not exclusively, both or unit 203, are included within the computer itself, (although shown here outside the computer for the sake of illustration) to the Internet 208. Linked to the Internet is also a prepayment scheme server utility 210. Here again, as can be appreciated, connected to the Internet may be a plurality of computers 202, each one including or being connected to a unit 203, while only one is shown for ease of illustration.

Rather than teaching that the activity monitoring unit *includes* a modem, Kiel actually teaches that the activity monitoring unit (204) can be combined in an integral unit *with* the modem 206. Kiel does not teach that the activity monitoring unit can access the internet without a modem included in the device containing the activity monitoring unit – the activity monitoring unit is only a portion of a device, rather than a unique device standing alone. Such a teaching is further reinforced by ¶34:

[0034] Handheld communication device 102 includes a modem 110 connected to antenna 112, a CPU 114, an activity monitoring unit 116. Activity monitoring unit 116 monitors the incoming and outgoing communication. Unit 116 includes also a data storage 118 for storing data comprising the client credit data record and a client-associated billing rules. CPU 114 is linked to the user interface (typically a keyboard and a display) represented as block 120.

Therefore, contrary to the Examiner's allegations, the activity monitoring unit cannot function as the claimed portable networking device.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 6 of 7

Additionally, the Examiner's allegation that Kiel's client communication device reads on the claimed onboard system because it is performing the same function of receiving encoded purchased calling time from another device (p. 5 answer) is erroneous. Kiel's client communication device receives calling time from the same device.

Similarly, the §103 rejections are inappropriate, as the 'obvious' claims depend directly or indirectly from an allowable independent claim.

Claims 1-12 are patentable over the references of record based on the legal standards applied by the Examiner, and Appellants request withdrawal of all outstanding rejections and prompt passage to issue.

January 31, 2006
Case No. GP-302115 (2760/56)
Serial No.: 10/082,912
Filed: February 26, 2002
Page 7 of 7

SUMMARY

Claims 1-12 herein fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.


Dated: January 31, 2006

Respectfully submitted,
JEFFREY M. STEFAN, ET. AL.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION
General Motors Legal Staff
Mail Code 482-C23-B21
300 Renaissance Center
P.O. Box 300
Detroit, MI 58265-3000
Phone: (313) 665-4714

Anthony Luke Simon
Registration No. 34,434
Attorney for Appellant

CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Phone: (847) 905-7111
Fax: (847) 905-7113



Frank C. Nicholas
Registration No. 33,983
Attorney for Applicant